1	Larry A. Hammond, 004049 Anne M. Chapman, 025965	SUPERIOR COURT	
2	OSBORN MALEDON, P.A.	2818 JUL 21 AM 8: 12	
3	2929 N. Central Avenue, 21st Floor	JEANAE HICKS, CLERK	
4	Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2793 (602) 640-9000	S. KELBAUGH	
5	lhammond@omlaw.com	8Y:	
	achapman@omlaw.com		
6 7	John M. Sears, 005617 P.O. Box 4080		
8	Prescott, Arizona 86302		
9	(928) 778-5208		
	John.Sears@azbar.org		
10	Attorneys for Defendant		
1	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA		
12	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF YAVAPAI		
13	STATE OF ARIZONA,) No. P1300CR20081339	
14	,)	
15	Plaintiff,) Div. 6	
16	vs.) DEFENDANT'S BENCH	
17	GTEVEN CARROLL DEMOCKER) MEMORANDUM RE: PENDING	
18	STEVEN CARROLL DEMOCKER,) HUANTE JURY QUESTIONS	
	Defendant.)	
19)	
20		_	
21			
22	Steven DeMocker, through his attorneys, submits this brief Bench Memorandum		
23	as an aid to addressing the pending jury questions and to resuming the cross-		
24	examination of Sgt. Huante. Our review of the transcripts of the proceedings on June		
25	11, 16 and 17 proved helpful to us in refreshing our memories of the rulings Judge		
26	Lindberg made while Sgt. Huante was ending his direct examination and commencing		
27 28		RECEIVED	

28

JUL 2 1 2010 DIVISION 6 his cross-examination. We share this summary in the hope that it might prove useful as well to the Court and the State.

MEMORANDUM

The direct examination of Sergeant Huante began on Friday, June 11, immediately after lunch. He resumed the stand the following Wednesday, June 16 (court was not in session on the 14th and 15th). The transcript from June 16 confirms that possibly the first discussion of juror questions for this witness began at the lunch break on that day. June 16, 2010, Tr. at 36-38. Some questions had carried over from the week before and Judge Lindberg invited counsel to peruse the questions that had come in during the direct examination on Wednesday morning. At that time the Court and counsel seemed to be thinking together that the response to all juror questions for this witness might wait until the examination of Sgt. Huante was complete.

At the end of the day on Wednesday, Judge Lindberg advised counsel that additional questions had come in. *Id.* at 116. At Mr. Sears' request, copies of all the pending questions were made for counsel. Judge Lindberg instructed all counsel to appear the following morning an hour ahead of the jurors so that time would be available to go through the questions. *Id.*

On Thursday morning, June 17, a fairly lengthy on-the-record session occurred and court and counsel addressed generally the questions about how juror questions should be processed and also discussed a number of specific questions or topic areas raised by the juror questions. By this time, approximately 53 questions had accumulated. June 17, 2010, Tr. at 7. The review of many of those questions is reflected in the first 31 pages of that day's transcript. The essence of the discussion that can briefly be summarized. First, the court and parties agreed that most questions should be deferred until the end of Sgt Huante's examination. Some would be included

in the questions asked by Mr. Sears on cross-examination or by the State on redirect. Second, Judge Lindberg then addressed several specific questions. *Id.* at 11 et seq.

Judge Lindberg observed that several of the questions had already been answered (such as the question about whether the jurors would be given transcripts of the audio recordings). Other questions were deferred based on Mr. Sears' statement that he intended to ask questions about topics covered on direct. *Id.* at 12. An additional few questions resulted in decisions by Judge Lindberg that he would simply not ask those questions. Each of the potentially problematic questions was addressed. *See Id.* at 21-31.

The Court then brought in the jurors and addressed at some length the general topic of juror questioning. *Id.* at 38-40. The Court then explained to the jury that he had decided to "hold off on asking juror questions of the witness until after the cross-examination and redirect examination." *Id.* at 43. The only specific juror question addressed at this time was one dealing with the length of Sgt Huante's videotaped bicycle ride. *Id.* Cross-examination commenced and was interrupted late in the morning by Judge Lindberg's medical emergency.

Given what appears to be the consensus of counsel and Judge Lindberg, and taking into account the statement made by Judge Lindberg to the jurors at the beginning of the proceedings on June 17, there may be no need to address specific questions before cross-examination recommences today. Most of the outstanding questions will be covered on cross-examination or redirect. At the end of that phase, we might then pause and look afresh at the questions to see which, if any, remain unanswered.

1	DATED this 21st day of July, 2010.	
2 -	By:	The state of the s
3	ے,	John M. Sears
4		P.O. Box 4080 Prescott, Arizona 86302
5		OSBORN MALEDON, P.A.
6		Larry A. Hammond
7		Anne M. Chapman 2929 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2100
8		Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2793
9		Attorneys for Defendant
10		
11	ORIGINAL of the foregoing hand delivered f	or
12	filing this 21 st day of July, 2010, with:	
13	Jeanne Hicks Clerk of the Court	
14	Yavapai County Superior Court	
15	120 S. Cortez Prescott, AZ 86303	
16	11030000, 1122 00303	
17	COPIES of the foregoing hand delivered this	
18	this 21st day of July, 2010, to:	
19	The Hon. Warren R. Darrow	
20	Judge Pro Tem B 120 S. Cortez	
21	Prescott, AZ 86303	
22	Joseph C. Butner, Esq.	
23	Jeffrey Paupore, Esq.	
24	Prescott Courthouse basket	
25	Al	
26	3215732	
27	3213132	